# Partial cancellation of correlations in nonrelativistic high energy asymptotics of photoeffect

E. G. Drukarev<sup>1</sup> and R. H. Pratt<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute
Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188300, Russia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260 USA

#### Abstract

We investigate the total effect of correlations on photoionization of atomic states with nonzero orbital momentum, in the nonrelativistic high energy asymptotic limit, considering the exclusive case of the dominant final state of an initial neutral atom. We find that the substantial cancellation of the dominant intra-shell correlations, which had been reported earlier, can be understood utilizing the closure properties satisfied by the eigenfunctions of the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian. Considering the sum of correlations with all states, occupied or not, we show that complete sum is equal to the contribution of the high energy part of the continuum. Consequently there is a total cancellation between the contributions of the bound states and the low energy part of the continuum states. This means that the real correlations in the physical atom, due to the sum rule over the occupied states, can be also obtained as the negative of the total contribution of low energy bound and continuum unoccupied states. We calculate this in the framework of the quantum defect model. As we would expect, the results are close to those obtained earlier in particular cases by direct summation over the occupied states. However this approach also allows us to see that the dominant intrashell correlation is going to be cancelled. We can also obtain some limits on the correlation effects by considering calculations with the screened Coulomb functions. The role of correlations in the exclusive photoionization processes is discussed, also the modification of correlations in the case of atomic ions.

# 1 Introduction

In this paper we study the total effect of the final state correlations on the amplitudes and cross sections of photoionization of atomic states with nonzero values of orbital momenta in the nonrelativistic high energy asymptotic limit. It is known that in this situation correlation effects still persist even in the high energy limit. We show that the sum of such correlations shows a tendency to cancel. The experimental data for photoionization of p states of external

shells of neon and argon by photons of the energies of about 1 keV [1], [2] can not be interpreted in the framework of the Independent Particle Approximation (IPA). In IPA the p electron is ionized by direct interaction with the photon. The authors of [1] suggested a mechanism of IPA breaking by the final state electron interactions. The photon ionizes rather the s electron of the same subshell. In a next step the outgoing electron moves the p electron to fill the hole in the s state created by the photon. The final state correlations have been studied later in [3]-[5], with the initial state IPA breaking effects being included in [4], where they were shown to be small except some special cases. It was understood that at still larger energies, much greater than all the binding energies of the atom, there is a large cancellation between correlations with various shells. Such cancellations were first found in the angular distributions of photoionization [3], and later at the amplitude level [5].

Since calculations involving cancellations require more precise knowledge of wave functions for the description of the bound electrons, we try to demonstrate the cancellations in another way. We consider *asymptotic energies* of the outgoing electron,

$$E \gg I$$

where I is the ionization energy of the single-particle ground state, and we seek to obtain the asymptotic amplitude. Thus we assume E to be much larger then all single-particle bound state energies. Our analysis is completely nonrelativistic, i.e, we assume  $E \ll m$  with m for the electron mass (we employ the system of units with  $\hbar = c = 1$ ). We consider only relatively light atoms, with not very large values of the nuclear charge Z, describing the bound electrons by nonrelativistic functions, with corrections of the order  $(\alpha Z)^2$  being neglected. We focus on the case of p electron photoionization.

Assuming that all initial electrons are moving in the same self-consistent field, we show that these cancellations can be understood utilizing the closure properties satisfied by the eigenfunctions of the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian. Considering the sum of correlations with all states, occupied or not, we demonstrate that complete sum is equal to the contribution of the high energy part of the continuum. Thus there is total cancellation between the contributions of the bound states and the low energy part of the continuum states, for which we will give a precise definition. Hence the sum of the correlations can be expressed as the negative of the sum over low energy unoccupied states.

We perform some explicit calculations for real atoms by calculating the contribution of low energy unoccupied states, using the quantum defect model combined with the Fermi-Segre theorem, and making a rough estimate of the contribution of the low energy part of the continuum. In our approach all such terms are positive. Our results are close to those obtained by direct summation over the occupied shells. These direct terms occur with both signs, in the cases where such summations were carried out [3, 5].

We have carried out direct calculations here for the Coulomb case, and we find certain limits on the correlation effects in this case. Using perturbative treatment of the screening we show that the magnitude of cancellations in the real atom is greater.

In our analysis we have used the perturbative approach to the final state interactions of the electrons developed in [6]. This approach was employed earlier for investigation of the IPA breaking in photoionization [4]. Inclusion of these effects removed or strongly diminished the discrepancy between experimental data and the IPA calculations. We shall use the expressions obtained in [4] throughout the paper.

In particular calculations we use the simplifying assumption that overlap matrix elements between the orbitals of different subshells in the initial state ion and the final state ion is small and can be neglected. In this approximation the inclusive cross section coincides with the exclusive one in which the state of the spectator electrons does not change. The latter cross sections correspond to the experiments [1, 7]. We show that the correlations considered in the paper can also manifest themselves stronger in inclusive processes of photoionization accompanied by excitation of external electrons. In the case of atomic ions there will be less cancellation among the correlations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec 2. we recall the main equations for the perturbative treatment of the IPA breaking effects in photoionization. In Sec. 3 we write the sum rules provided by closure and show that they have the consequence that there is total cancellation between the sum over all the bound states and the low energy continuum states. In Sec. 4 we obtain the correlations of the occupied states, both directly (from previous work [5]), and as the negative of the sum over unoccupied low energy states. In Sec. 5 we make explicit calculations, using some simplified models. We show the results of these approaches in Table 2, including also the cases of large Z for illustrative purpose. For the direct calculations we investigate the Coulomb case in Sec. 6, with the results given in Table 3, and give some discussion of screening in an effective charge approach. In Sec. 7. we consider the role of correlation in exclusive processes, and for atomic ions. We summarize in Sec. 8. Some details of computations are presented in Appendices.

# 2 Perturbative treatment of IPA breaking effects

We recall the general points of our perturbative approach [6], restricting ourself here to asymptotic analysis. We shall use a simplifying assumption that the bound electrons are described by single-particle wave functions. This is not a necessary assumption, and the approach has been employed for the case of correlated functions as well [8], [9]. Consider the asymptotic amplitudes  $F_i$  for ionization of initial state i with quantum numbers  $i = n, \ell, \ell_z$ . The final state interactions between the outgoing electron and the residual ion in their lowest order in the the amplitude beyond the independent particle approximation (IPA) can be expressed in terms of a linear combination of the IPA asymptotic amplitudes  $F_j^0$  for ionization of all the other occupied atomic states j:

$$F_i = F_i^0 + \sum_{j} F_j^0 \Lambda_{j,i} , \qquad (1)$$

with  $\Lambda_{j,i}$  the matrix element for a transition from the state i to the state j, caused by the outgoing electron, following photoionization of the state j. If j is a bound n's state (this will the most important case, since correlations with higher  $\ell$  states contribute beyond the

asymptotics [4]), the asymptotics of the IPA amplitude can be written as [10, 13]

$$F_{j}^{0} = \langle \psi_{f}^{0} | \hat{\gamma} | \psi_{j} \rangle = \frac{(4\pi)^{1/2} \tau}{m} \frac{(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{P})}{P^{4}} N_{n's}^{r}, \qquad (2)$$

with  $\psi_f^0$  the plane wave approximation for the wave function of the outgoing ejected electron,  $N_{n's}^r$  the normalization factor of the radial function of the n's electron ( $\psi_{n's}(\mathbf{r}) = \psi_{n's}^r(r)/\sqrt{4\pi}$ ;  $N_{n's}^r = \psi_{n's}^r(0)$ ,  $\tau = m\alpha Z$ , and  $\hat{\gamma} = -i(\mathbf{e} \cdot \nabla)/m$  is the interaction operator between the photon with polarization vector  $\mathbf{e}$  and the electron.

The plane wave approximation

$$\psi_f^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = \exp[i(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{r})], \tag{3}$$

with momentum of the outgoing electron  $P \gg \tau$ , is appropriate for Eq. (2) in velocity form. This corresponds to normalization of the continuum wave functions by the condition [11]

$$\int d^3r \psi_{\boldsymbol{P}}^*(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{\boldsymbol{P}'}(\boldsymbol{r}) = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\boldsymbol{P} - \boldsymbol{P}')$$

The amplitude  $F_j^0$  is evaluated in Appendix A.

If the photon energy well exceeds the binding energies of the bound states i and j, the matrix elements  $\Lambda_{j,i}$  can be represented as

$$\Lambda_{j,i} = i\xi S_{j,i} , \qquad (4)$$

with  $\xi = m\alpha/P$  (P the momentum of the outgoing electron) the Sommerfeld parameter of the final state interaction of the outgoing electron with the residual ion. The matrix elements

$$S_{ji} = \langle j | \ln(r-z) | i \rangle , \qquad (5)$$

(with z the projection of the coordinate vector  $\mathbf{r}$  on the direction of the momentum of the outgoing electron), obtained in [1], describe the transfer of an electron from the states i to fill the hole in the state j of the positive ion with the hole in i state. One can write  $\ln(r-z) = \ln r + \ln(1-t)$ , with  $t = (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{r})/Pr$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  the momentum of the outgoing electron. For states i and j with different angular momenta the matrix element (5) with  $\ln r$  vanishes due to orthogonality of the angular parts of the wave functions of the states i and j. Thus when the states i and j have different angular momenta we can write

$$S_{j,i} = \langle j | \ln(1-t) | i \rangle. \tag{6}$$

Only such states contribute to the asymptotic amplitude, when i is not an s state (which we will assume), since the dominant asymptotic amplitudes  $F_j^0$  require j be an s state. Thus, we shall consider only the states j with quantum numbers  $\ell = \ell_z = 0$ , i. e. j = n', 0, 0. Taking the direction of the outgoing electron momentum as the axis of quantization of angular momentum, we find that correlations occur only for i states with  $\ell_z = 0$ , since only these states are coupled by Eq. (6).

We may therefore write

$$F_i = F_i^0 + i\xi \Sigma_i A_{i,i} \,, \tag{7}$$

where

$$A_{j,i} = F_j^0 S_{ji} \,, (8)$$

with  $S_{j,i}$  given by Eq. (6) for photon energies, well exceeding the binding energies of i and j states (being suppressed otherwise [1, 6]). For example, as shown in [1], in ionization of the 2p electrons of neon by photons with energies of about 1 keV correlations with 2s electrons are important, while those with 1s electrons are not; but by 10 keV correlations with 1s electrons become important. We will write  $A_{ji}$  as  $A_j$  omitting the index i.

Now we restrict ourselves to the case of ionization of p states only, i. e. we consider the case i = n, 1, 0. We use the standard spectroscopic notation, e.g. the state with quantum numbers n, 0, 0 is an ns state, etc. Then Eq. (6) can be evaluated as

$$S_{ji} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \langle \phi_{n's}^r | \psi_{np}^r \rangle , \qquad (9)$$

with the first factor coming from the angular integration,  $\psi_{np}^r$  and  $\phi_{n's}^r$  are respectively the radial wave functions in the field of the atom and of the ion with the hole in np state, and

$$\langle \phi_{n's}^r | \psi_{np}^r \rangle = \int dr r^2 \phi_{n's}^r(r) \psi_{np}^r(r).$$

Note that since all the other electrons in initial and final states belong to different Hamiltonians, there are nonzero overlap integrals between orbitals of different subshells. This makes the whole picture more complicated-see [12]. For example, in our case there are other channels for ionization of 2p state. In one of them the photon interacts directly with 2s electron, while the 1s electron suffers shakeup into the hole in the 2s state of the ion. The photoelectron pushes the 2p electron into 1s hole of the final state ion at the end of the story. The contribution of this channel to the total amplitude of ionization from the 2p state is thus  $F_{2s}^0 \Lambda_{1s,2p} \langle \phi_{2s}^r | \psi_{1s}^r \rangle$ . If  $|\langle \phi_{2s}^r | \psi_{1s}^r \rangle| \ll 1$  we can neglect this contribution with respect to the other terms on the right hand side of Eq.(1).

We shall consider this very case, thus assuming that  $|\langle \phi_{n's}^r | \psi_{ns}^r \rangle| \ll 1$  for all  $n \neq n'$ . Hence we find  $1 - |\langle \phi_{ns}^r | \psi_{ns}^r \rangle|^2 \ll 1$  for all n, and the inclusive cross section with the sum over all possible states of the final ion coincides with the exclusive cross section in which the spectator electrons do not suffer transitions. In this approximation we must replace Eq.(9) by

$$S_{ji} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \langle \psi_{n's}^r | \psi_{np}^r \rangle. \tag{10}$$

Hence,

$$A_{n's} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} F_{n's}^0 \langle \psi_{n's}^r | \psi_{np}^r \rangle , \qquad (11)$$

with  $F_{n's}^0$  the asymptotic IPA amplitude for ionization of an n's bound state. We shall omit the upper index IPA further. For correlations inside the same shell

$$A_{ns} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} F_{ns}^0 \langle \psi_{ns}^r | \psi_{np}^r \rangle.$$

For ionization of 2p states, i. e. n = 2,

$$\langle \psi_{2s}^r | \psi_{2p}^r \rangle \approx -1, \tag{12}$$

for all atoms. This matrix element, calculated with Hartree-Fock wave functions, is -0.91 for Z=5, with the value becoming closer to -1 for larger Z. The Coulomb value is  $-\sqrt{3}/2$ . Thus we can estimate that

 $A_{2s} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} F_{2s}^0 . {13}$ 

For photon energies well exceeding the bounding energy of L shell, but not of the K shell, the correlation with the 2s electron dominates. Correlations with 1s electrons are small at these energies [1, 4]. Correlations with other s electrons, if there are any, are small This happens for two reasons. The overlap matrix elements  $|\langle \psi^r_{n's} | \psi^r_{2p} \rangle| \ll |\langle \psi^r_{2s} | \psi^r_{2p} \rangle|$ , for  $n' \neq 2$ , due to Eq.(12) and to the closure relation  $\sum_{xs} |\langle \psi^r_{xs} | \psi^r_{2p} \rangle|^2 = 1$  (with summation over the states of both discrete and continuum spectra) Also the asymptotic IPA amplitudes  $F^0_{n's}$  drop with n'. Hence at such energies the value (13) determines the scale of IPA breaking effects.

However, at larger energies, greatly exceeding the binding energy of the 1s electrons,  $A_{1s}$  becomes comparable to  $A_{2s}$ , and there is a large cancellation between contributions of the K shell and the other shells. Such cancellations were first found in the angular distributions of photoionization [3] and then observed at the amplitude level [5]. The calculations require knowledge of rather precise wave functions for the description of the bound electrons.

For photon energies much greater than all the binding energies the asymptotic contribution of correlations to the amplitude is

$$\tilde{T}_d = \Sigma_{\tilde{i}} A_{\tilde{i}} = \Sigma_{\tilde{n}s} A_{\tilde{n}s} \,, \tag{14}$$

with the sum over all occupied  $\tilde{n}s$  states, where  $A_{\tilde{n}s}$  is given by Eq. (11), for all occupied states  $\tilde{j}$ , where  $A_j$  is given by Eq. (8), namely

$$A_{\tilde{j}} = \langle \psi_f^0 | \hat{\gamma} | \psi_{\tilde{j}} \rangle \langle \phi_{\tilde{j}} | \ln r(1-t) | \psi_i \rangle \approx \langle \psi_f^0 | \hat{\gamma} | \psi_{\tilde{j}} \rangle \langle \psi_{\tilde{j}} | \ln r(1-t) | \psi_i \rangle. \tag{15}$$

There are only two active electrons in our analysis, while the others are just ignored. This corresponds to calculation of the amplitude for an inclusive process, since the sum over all possible final states  $\Phi_f$  of the spectator electrons in the final state ion, described in the initial state atom by the function  $\Psi$ 

$$\sum_{f} \langle \Phi_f | \Psi \rangle^2 = 1$$

.

# 3 Closure condition and sum rules

Using closure we can write simple relations for amplitudes like  $\tilde{T}_d$  in Eq. (14), but now summed over various states j. We will do it in a 3d formalism. We will sketch these relations here and

complete their proof subsequently. The closure condition for the wave functions of the initial state nonrelativistic Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\sum_{j} |\psi_{j}\rangle\langle\psi_{j}| = 1 = \sum_{\tilde{j}} |\psi_{\tilde{j}}\rangle\langle\psi_{\tilde{j}}| + \sum_{j^{*}} |\psi_{j^{*}}\rangle\langle\psi_{j^{*}}| + \sum_{j_{c}} |\psi_{j_{c}}\rangle\langle\psi_{j_{c}}|, \tag{16}$$

with  $\tilde{j}$  and  $j^*$  labelling the occupied and unoccupied states of discrete spectrum correspondingly, while  $j_c$  are suitably normalized continuum states. The closure condition can be represented as

$$\int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_{\boldsymbol{Q}}^*(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(\boldsymbol{r}') + \sum_{n',\ell',m'} \psi_{n',\ell',m'}^*(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{n',\ell',m'}(\boldsymbol{r}') = \delta(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'),$$

for  $\Psi_Q$  which are asymptotically plane waves as in Eq.(3). For any state j, occupied or not, we can write, generalizing Eq. (15),

$$\langle \psi_f^0 | \hat{\gamma} | \psi_j \rangle = \frac{(\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{P})}{m} \langle \psi_f^0 | \psi_j \rangle; \quad A_j = \frac{(\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{P})}{m} \langle \psi_f^0 | \psi_j \rangle \langle \psi_j | \ln r (1 - t) | \psi_i \rangle. \tag{17}$$

We may evaluate Eqs. (14),(15) using Eqs. (16) for the sum  $\sum_{\tilde{j}} |\psi_{\tilde{j}}\rangle\langle\psi_{\tilde{j}}|$  over occupied bound states.

$$\tilde{T}_d = T - T_d^* - T_c, \tag{18}$$

with

$$T \equiv \Sigma_j A_j = \langle \psi_f^0 | \hat{\gamma} \ln r (1-t) | \psi_i \rangle = \frac{(\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{P})}{m} \langle \psi_f^0 | \ln r (1-t) | \psi_i \rangle$$
 (19)

the sum over the complete set of states j, while  $\tilde{T}_d$  and  $T_d^*$  are the sums over occupied and unoccupied states j of the discrete spectrum correspondingly, with  $T_c$  the sum over the continuum states.

We can write Eq. (18) in the form

$$T = T_d + T_c, (20)$$

with

$$T_d = \tilde{T}_d + T^*, \tag{21}$$

the sum over occupied and unoccupied states of the discrete spectrum.

We may now separate the continuum amplitude  $T_c$  defined below Eq.(19), for which the continuum states  $j_c$  may be labeled by asymptotic momentum Q, in two parts

$$T_c = T_{c1} + T_{c2}; \quad T = T_d + T_{c1} + T_{c2}.$$
 (22)

 $T_{c1}$  will sum states for which  $q \ll P$ ,  $T_{c2}$  states with  $Q \sim P$ . More precisely, we pick a  $Q_0$  for which  $p \gg Q_0 \gg \tau_c$ , with  $\tau_c$  the characteristic momentum of the bound state (one can assume  $\tau_c \approx m\alpha Z$ ), and define  $T_{c1}$  as the sum over states  $Q < Q_0$ ,  $T_{c2}$  as the sum over  $Q > Q_0$ .

We may show (see Appendix B) that for states in  $T_{c2}$  the wave function  $\psi_Q$  may be replaced by a plane wave:  $\psi_Q^0 = \exp[i(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r})]$ . We may also show (see Sec. 4) that the sum over plane wave states  $\sum_{Q>Q_0} |\psi_Q^0\rangle\langle\psi_Q^0|$  in  $T_{c2}$  may be extended to a sum over all plane wave states  $\sum_Q$ ,

that is, the sum over plane wave states,  $Q < Q_0$  makes no contribution in  $T_{c2}$ . But  $\sum_Q |\psi_Q^0\rangle\langle\psi_Q^0|$  over plane wave states is a sum over a complete set of states (=1), and therefore for  $T_{c2}$  one again obtains Eq. (19), i.e. in the asymptotics

$$T = T_{c2}. (23)$$

In Appendix C we show that the amplitude  $T_{c2}$  has the same asymptotics as  $T_d$ . Hence, while from Eqs. (19), (20) and (21)

$$T = \tilde{T}_d + T_d^* + T_{c1} + T_{c2} , \qquad (24)$$

Eq. (23) implies

$$0 = \tilde{T}_d + T_d^* + T_{c1}; \quad \tilde{T}_d = -T_d^* - T_{c1}, \qquad (25)$$

giving an alternative way to calculate  $\tilde{T}_d$  which, as we shall see, has some advantages. (In fact all these are asymptotic amplitudes, and so for Eq. (25) to follow from (23) and (24) we must show that these are all amplitudes of the same order. We shall do this in Sec. 4.) In Sec. 4 we shall make explicit calculations of the amplitudes of Eq. (25), determining  $\tilde{T}_d$  from  $T_d^*$  and  $T_{c1}$ , as well as further discussing its direct calculations in Sec. 5.

# 4 Formalism for particular amplitudes

a In 3d formalism all of the particular amplitudes of the previous section are of the form of Eq. (14), except that the summation  $\sum_{\tilde{j}}$  in  $\tilde{T}_d$  is replaced by summation  $\sum_{j^*}$  in  $T_d^*$  for unoccupied bound states, and by integration  $\int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3}$  in  $T_c$  for continuum states with  $Q < Q_0$  and  $Q > Q_0$  for  $T_{c1}$  and  $T_{c2}$  correspondingly. Also, T, corresponding to summing over the complete set of states, was given explicitly in Eq. (19).

We can also represent the amplitudes in terms of radial functions. Using Eqs. (2) and (10) we can write

$$T_d = -\frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi^{1/2}\tau}{m} \frac{(\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{P})}{P^4} \sum_{n'} N_{n's}^r \langle \psi_{n's}^r | \psi_{np}^r \rangle$$
 (26)

and correspondingly for partitions  $\tilde{T}_d$  and  $T_d^*$  summing over occupied or unoccupied bound states n's.

Continuum radial wave functions for s states (as well as those for a nonzero value of  $\ell$ ) are normalized by condition

$$\int dr \psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{r*}(r) \psi_{\varepsilon',s}^{r}(r) = \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon'),$$

with  $\psi^r_{\varepsilon',s}(r) = \psi^r_{p,s}(r)/(2\pi p)^{1/2}$ ,  $\psi^r_{\boldsymbol{P}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \psi^r_{p,s}(r)/2p$ +terms with nonzero values of  $\ell$ . The closure relation is

$$\int d\varepsilon \psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{r*}(r)\psi_{\varepsilon,s}^{r}(r') + \sum_{n',s} \psi_{n',s}^{r*}(r)\psi_{n',s}(r') = \delta(r-r').$$

Thus the calculation of  $T_{c1}$  proceeds in the same way again (see Appendix A), and yields Eq. (26), except that for the radial functions we write  $\int_0^\infty d\varepsilon$  instead of  $\sum_{n'}$ , and replace  $N_{n's}^r$  by  $N_{\varepsilon s}^r$ . (The integration over energies has been extended to infinity, with the energies exceeding strongly the binding energy of the ionized state, including those for which  $\varepsilon > Q_0^2/2m$ , providing a negligible contribution.)

For  $T_{c2}$ , where  $Q > Q_0$ , we may argue (Appendix B) that in  $F_Q^0$ , corresponding to Eq. (2),  $\psi_Q^0$  is to be replaced by a plane wave. Thus  $F_Q^0 = \frac{(\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{P})}{m} \delta(\boldsymbol{P} - \mathbf{Q})$ . Hence, with plane wave for  $\psi_Q$  we may extend the integration over Q to include  $Q < Q_0 \ll P$ , since there is no contribution from this region. On the other hand, we can evaluate explicitly (see Appendix C)

$$T_{c2} = \frac{(\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{P})}{m} \frac{6\sqrt{3\pi} N_{np}^r \tau}{P^4}.$$
 (27)

As we have already seen,  $T = T_{c2}$ . Note that we have also now shown that all these terms are of the same asymptotic order, which we had needed to prove Eq. (25).

Note that we can write Eq. (26) in the form

$$\sum_{n'} F_{n's}^{(0)} S_{n's,np} + \int \frac{d^3 Q}{(2\pi)^3} F_Q^{(0)} S_{Q,np} = 0, \qquad (28)$$

with  $S_{j,i}$  defined by Eq. (5),  $F_{n's}^{(0)}$  are the high energy IPA photoionization amplitudes [13] while  $F_Q^{(0)}$  is the bremsstrahlung amplitude in the tip region [14]. Following the previous analysis, the integral in the second term involves all values of Q. However it is saturated by  $Q \sim \tau = m\alpha Z$ .

We can now write Eq. (25), expanding the radial function  $\psi_{np}^r(r)$  in terms of the functions  $\psi_{rs}^r(r)$   $(x=n',\varepsilon)$ :

$$\psi_{np}^{r}(r) = \sum \psi_{n's}^{r}(r) a_{n's,np} + \int_{0}^{\infty} d\varepsilon \psi_{\varepsilon s}^{r}(r) a_{\varepsilon s,np}, \qquad (29)$$

with

$$a_{n's,np} = \langle \psi_{n's}^r | \psi_{nn}^r \rangle, \quad a_{\varepsilon s,np} = \langle \psi_{n's}^r | \psi_{nn}^r \rangle,$$
 (30)

while closure can be written as

$$\sum_{n'} a_{n's,np}^2 + \int_0^\infty d\varepsilon \, a_{\varepsilon ns,np}^2 = 1. \tag{31}$$

(Note that  $a_{xs,np} = -2/\sqrt{3}S_{xs,np}$ , with  $S_{xs,np}$  given by Eqs. (6) and (9)). Note that integrals on the RHS of Eqs. (29) and (31) are saturated by energies of the order of the np electron binding energy.

The ratio of correlations of the np state, with n's and  $\varepsilon s$ , to correlation with the ns state may be described by the factors

$$x_{n's,np} = \frac{N_{n's}^r a_{n's,np}}{N_{ns}^r a_{ns,np}}, \qquad x_{\varepsilon s,np} = \frac{N_{\varepsilon s}^r a_{\varepsilon,n}}{N_{ns}^r a_{n,n}}.$$
 (32)

We can write Eq. (28) in the form

$$\sum_{n'} x_{n's,np} + \int_{0}^{\infty} d\varepsilon x_{\varepsilon s,np} = 0.$$
 (33)

We must calculate the physical value of the total correlation, relative to the correlation between np and ns electrons, i.e. the sum of relative correlations over occupied states  $\tilde{n}$ ,

$$x_{ph} = \sum_{\tilde{n}} x_{\tilde{n}s,np} \,, \tag{34}$$

measuring the total amount of correlation relative to the intrashell ns, np correlation.

# 5 Calculation of the physical value $x_{nh}$

Using Eq. (32) for  $x_{n's,np}$  one can see that there is a tendency of cancellation of correlation effects for the 2p electrons. Employing Eqs. (12) and using Eq. (31) we find  $|a_{n's2p}| \ll 1$  for  $n' \neq 2$ . Since the normalization factors  $N_{n's}^r$  drop when n' increases, the contribution of these states to the RHS of Eq. (34) can be neglected for n' > 2. The case of n' = 1 requires additional analysis since  $N_{1s} > N_{2s}$ . One can see that the contributions of n' = 1 and n' = 2 to  $x_{ph}$  have different signs; from Eq.(12)  $a_{2s,2p} < 0$ , while  $a_{1s,2p} > 0$ , since the function  $\psi_{1s}^r$  has no nodes, while the function  $\psi_{2p}^r$  is non-negative. Hence, there is a partial cancellation between these terms. We shall discuss the extent of this cancellation subsequently.

Now we try to calculate  $x_{ph}$  in another way, i.e. as the negative of the total contribution of low energy unoccupied states, bound  $(x_d)$  and continuum  $(x_c)$ :

$$x_{ph} = \sum_{\tilde{n}} x_{\tilde{n},n} = -x_d - x_c, \quad x_d = \sum_{n^*} x_{n^*s,np}, \quad x_c = \int d\varepsilon x_{\varepsilon s,np}$$
 (35)

with  $n^*$  labelling the unoccupied states of the discrete spectrum.

#### 5.1 Discrete states

It is known that for  $n' \gg n$  the dominant region of coordinate space in the integral  $\langle \psi_{n's}^r | \psi_{np}^r \rangle$  is determined by the characteristic size of the np state. These values of r are much smaller than the characteristic size of the n's state. The dependence on the energy of the n'-th state in the Schrödinger equation for  $\psi_{n's}^r$  can be dropped [10], and the only n' dependence of this matrix element is contained in the normalization factors  $N_{n's}$ . Thus, from Eq. (32), the ratio

$$x_{n's,np} = \frac{N_{n's}^2}{N_{ns}^2}. (36)$$

To estimate  $N_{n^*s}^2$  for the unoccupied states with principal quantum numbers  $n^*$  we use the quantum defect approach, in which the binding energy  $E_{n'}$  of the n's state is

$$E_{n'} = -\frac{\nu}{2(n' - \Delta_{n'})^2}, \tag{37}$$

with  $\nu = m\alpha^2$ , and

$$\Delta_{n'} \to \Delta \quad \text{as} \quad n' \to \infty \,.$$
 (38)

Here the quantum defect  $\Delta$  does not depend on n'.

Combining Eq. (37) with the Fermi–Segre formula [15],

$$N_{n's}^2 = 4Z \frac{dE_{n'}}{dn'}, (39)$$

we obtain

$$x_{n's,np} = \left(\frac{n - \Delta_n}{n' - \Delta_{n'}}\right)^3 \cdot \kappa_{n',n}, \qquad (40)$$

with

$$\kappa_{n',n} = \frac{1 - \Delta'_{n'}}{1 - \Delta'_{n}}.\tag{41}$$

We neglect the derivatives  $\Delta'_{n'}$ , as justified below. Applying Eq. (39) to the highest occupied state  $\tilde{n}_h$  of known binding energy, we find its quantum defect  $\Delta_h$ . Alternatively, for the lowest unoccupied level of s electrons,  $n^* = \tilde{n}_h + 1$ , we identify the quantum defect  $\Delta_n$  with the limiting value  $\Delta$ , defined by Eq. (38). The latter can be extracted from the results of [16], on the phase shifts with respect to Coulomb values  $\delta(E)$ , since  $\Delta = \delta(0)/\pi$ . The values of  $\Delta_h$ , calculated by using the normalization factors  $N_{n's}^2$  given in [17], and  $\Delta$ , are shown in Table 1. We will assume that  $\Delta_n$  for all unoccupied states is independent of n,  $\Delta_n = \Delta$ . The comparison of  $\Delta_h$  and  $\Delta$  indicates the type of error that is being made. Relatively small value of the difference  $\Delta_h - \Delta$  justifies neglect of the derivatives  $\Delta'_{n'}$ .

Z	$\Delta_h$	Δ
5	0.96	0.76
7	1.23	0.95
10	1.44	1.27
14	2.00	1.69
18	2.31	2.04
32	3.04	2.74
36	3.28	3.06
50	3.96	3.37

Table 1: The quantum defects of the highest occupied bound states  $\Delta_h$ , and the asymptotic values  $\Delta$  as defined by Eq. (38), obtained from [16]; Z is the nuclear charge.

The total contributions of unoccupied discrete levels can be written as as

$$x_d = \sum_{n_h+1} x_{n^*s,np}, (42)$$

with  $n_h$  the principal quantum number of the highest occupied state. The summation over unoccupied states in Eq. (42) can be carried out by using the formula [19],

$$\sum_{\tilde{n}_h+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+a)^3} = -\frac{1}{2} \psi''(a) - \sum_{0}^{\tilde{n}_h} \frac{1}{(k+a)^3}, \tag{43}$$

with  $\psi(a) = \Gamma'(a)/\Gamma(a)$ , where  $\Gamma(a)$  is the Euler gamma function,  $\tilde{n}_h + 1$  is the principle quantum number of the lowest unoccupied state.

#### 5.2 Continuum states

Equations (37) and (39) reflect the Coulomb-like behavior of the excited states of the discrete spectrum at  $n' \to \infty$ . Thus for the continuum states with  $\varepsilon = 0$  we can write

$$x_{0s,np} = \frac{1}{2I_0} \cdot \lim_{n' \to \infty} (n'^3 x_{n's,np}),$$
 (44)

with  $I_0 = m\alpha^2/2 = 13.6 \,\text{eV}$ , or

$$x_{0s,np} = \frac{4ZI_0}{N_{ns}^2}. (45)$$

Since the only characteristic energy is the binding energy  $E_{np} < 0$  of the ionized state, we may suppose that the integral in the last equality of Eq. (35) is saturated by  $\varepsilon \sim |E_{np}|$ . If we suppose that  $x_{\varepsilon s,np} = x_{0s,np}$  for  $\varepsilon < |E_{np}| \ x_{\varepsilon s,np} = 0$  for  $\varepsilon > |E_{np}|$  we find

$$x_c \approx \frac{4ZI_0|E_{np}|}{N_{ns}^2} \,. \tag{46}$$

This is clearly a fairly crude estimate, but we will see that it is consistent with results from direct calculations of  $x_{ph}$ .

### 5.3 Results for $x_{ph}$

Note that in the quantum defect approach  $x_d > 0$ . The assumption (46) provides also  $x_c > 0$ . Hence the values  $x_{ph}$  are negative. This means that the total correlation effect in the amplitude has a sign, which is opposite to that of correlation inside the same shell. Employing Eqs. (42), (43) and (46) we find the values of  $x_{ph}$  shown in Table 2.

Our results are in good agreement with the results  $x^{dir}$  obtained by direct summation of the correlations with occupied shells in the photoionization amplitude [5]. Results of [5] obtained by inclusion of correlations with 2s and 1s shells in nitrogen and neon are  $x_{ph} = -0.18$  and  $x_{ph} = -0.11$  respectively. Since the results of [5] are in good agreement with those of [4] for angular distributions, our results agree with those of [4] as well. For ionization of the 3p state in argon, "shell by shell" calculation [5] gives  $x_{ph} = -0.14$ , also in agreement with the result of the present work.

Z	n	$x_d$	$x_c$	$x_{ph}$	$x^{dir}$
5	2	0.08	0.12	-0.20	
7	2	0.05	0.09	-0.14	-0.18
10	2	0.04	0.07	-0.11	-0.11
14	2	0.06	0.16	-0.22	
14	3	0.08	0.12	-0.20	
18	2	0.06	0.20	-0.26	
18	3	0.05	0.10	-0.15	-0.14
32	3	0.01	0.18	-0.19	
32	4	0.13	0.11	-0.24	
36	3	0.01	0.19	-0.20	
36	4	0.08	0.11	-0.19	
50	5	0.06	0.13	-0.19	

Table 2: The values of  $x_d$ ,  $x_c$  and  $x_{ph}$  as defined by Eq. (35), where n is the principal quantum number of the ionized np state, Z stands for nuclear charge. The values  $x^{dir}$  presented in the last column are the results of direct summation over occupied states – Eq. (34).

Now we estimate the total contribution of correlations to the amplitudes and cross sections of photoionization of p states. We use the estimate  $\langle \psi_{np} | \psi_{ns} \rangle \approx -1$  for all n (see Eq. (12)). Presenting the ratio of IPA amplitudes in terms of normalization factors  $F_{n,0,0}/F_{n,1,0} = N_s^r/\sqrt{3} N_p^r$ , we find

$$F_{n,1,0} = F_{n,1,0}^{0} \left( 1 + \frac{N_{ns}^{r}}{2N_{np}^{r}} x_{ph} \right), \tag{47}$$

and thus the cross section for ionization of np state beyond IPA is

$$\sigma_{np} = \sigma_{np}^0 \left( 1 + \frac{N_{ns}^r}{3N_{np}^r} x_{ph} \right), \tag{48}$$

with  $\sigma_{np}^0$  standing for the IPA values.

Using the numerical values of the normalization factors [17] we find that the total correlations diminish the values of cross sections of photoionization of 2p states in nitrogen and neon only by about 2.5%. In contrast, inclusion of correlations only with the 2s shell would increase the cross sections by 18% and 22% correspondingly. The full cross section for ionization of 3p states in argon becomes smaller by 1.8%, while it becomes larger by 12% if only correlation with the 3s shell is considered.

# 6 Calculation for hydrogenlike functions and limitations for the many-electron atoms

We can also make explicit calculations of correlations in the case of Coulomb wave functions, and also in using an effective charge approximation for screening. All results for Coulomb functions can be obtained analytically. The results for  $x_{n's,np}$  do not depend on the values of nuclear charge Z.

Starting with ionization of 2p electrons we obtain the values of the parameters that are presented in Table 3 (upper indices C indicate that the quantities are calculated in the Coulomb field of the nucleus).

- 1			
	n'	$x_{n's,2p}^{c}$	$x_{n's,3p}^{c}$
	1	-1.58	-1.26
	2	1.00	-0.02
	3	0.041	1.00
	4	0.015	0.04

Table 3: Parameters for ionization of 2p and 3p states, obtained by using Coulomb functions.

One can see that in this case the correlation with the 1s state is about 50% larger than that with the 2s state. For the contribution of the continuum with  $\varepsilon \ll E$  one can obtain by direct calculation

$$x_{\varepsilon 2p} = \frac{C\Phi(\varepsilon)}{(\varepsilon + I_Z/4)^2}; \quad \Phi(\varepsilon) = \exp(-2\xi_1(\arctan(2/\xi_1)) - 2/\xi_1)), \quad \xi_1 = \tau/\varepsilon = \sqrt{I_Z/\varepsilon}; \quad \Phi(0) = 1$$
(49)

where

$$C = 2 \lim_{n' \to \infty} n'^3 x_{n's,2p}^C = 0.78 \tag{50}$$

is obtained by using the well known Coulomb wave function for the bound n's state for  $n' \gg 1$  [10]. This gives  $x_c = 0.52$ , in agreement with Eq. (33).

Consider now ionization of 3p electrons - see again Table 3. The correlation with 1s and 3s states cancel to a larger extent than in the case of the 2s state. The contribution of the continuum is now  $x_c = 0.22$ .

Now we analyze the situation for more realistic atomic models. We shall compare the values  $x_{ph}$  calculated is the unscreened and screened Coulomb fields. Here we obtain  $x_{ph}$  as the sum over occupied states, taking into account that, as we showed above, for ionization of a np state only correlations with ns and 1s states are important. Using Eq.(32) we can write in this approximation

$$x_{ph} = 1 + x_{1s,np}, (51)$$

with  $x_{1s,np} < 0$ , as shown above. As one can see from Table 3, the Coulomb values  $|x_{1s,np}^C| > 1$ . Now we show that for the screened Coulomb values  $|x_{1s,np}| < |x_{1s,np}^C|$ , and thus the Coulomb values  $x^C$  can be used as the lower limits for the physical values  $x_{ph}$ . We can calculate the screening effects, assuming that the initial electrons are described by the Coulomb functions with effective values of the nuclear charge  $Z_{n\ell} = Z - \delta_{n\ell}$  [10, 18]. In this approach we find for ionization of 2p electrons

$$x_{1s,np} = x_{1s,np}^C \eta; \quad \eta = \left(\frac{Z_{1s}}{Z_{2s}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{3Z}{2Z_{1s} + Z_{2p}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{Z_{2s} + Z_{2p}}{2Z}\right)^5 \frac{2Z}{3Z_{2s} - Z_{2p}},\tag{52}$$

with  $\eta=1$  if screening is neglected and thus  $Z_{1s}=Z_{2s}=Z_{2p}=Z$ . In the lowest order of expansion in powers of  $\delta_{n\ell}$  Eq. (52) provides  $\eta=1+\delta/Z$ , with  $\delta=-\delta_{1s}/3-5\delta_{2p}/3+2\delta_{2s}$ . If a small influence of the electrons in the higher states on the values of  $\delta_{n\ell}$  is neglected,  $\delta$  is the same for all atoms with the totally occupied K and L shells. Using the values  $\delta_{1s}=0.35$ ,  $\delta_{2s}=3.25$  and  $\delta_{2p}=4.75$ , [18], we find  $\delta<0$ . Thus  $\eta<1$ , and indeed

$$x_{phys} > x^C, (53)$$

while  $x^C < 0$ . Using Eq.(52) for neon with these values of  $\delta_{n\ell}$  we find  $\eta = 0.676$ , providing  $x_{1s,2p} = -1.068$  and thus  $x_{phys} = -0.068$ , with  $|x_{phys}|$  smaller then that shown in Table 2. Note however that this value is a result of subtraction of two much larger values. Putting  $\eta = 0.702$ , i.e. increasing it by 4% we would find  $x_{phys} = -0.11$ , in agreement with the data in Table 2.

# 7 Exclusive and inclusive processes in neutral atoms and ions

Instead of photoionization of neutral atoms we can consider photoionization of ions. Since the cancellation of correlations is due mainly to cancellation between correlations with 1s and with ns electrons, a hole in either the 1s or ns shell breaks this balance, and the net correlations will greatly increase. This was observed earlier [3] for the cases of nitrogen and neon. A hole in other shells will not influence strongly the total correlation.

One is often interested in inclusive and exclusive photoionization, as in ionization of a 2p state, but perhaps also exciting other electrons. The theory of such processes was much studied [20]–[22] in the case of shake off and shake up.

As already noted, our discussion considering only two active electrons, was inclusive in its treatment of the spectator electrons, it could be exclusive if overlap integrals between initial and final spectator states were considered. Note that in principle one should have also, in the presence of correlations, include other electrons as active, capable to undergo further excitation or ionization beyond the shakeoff/shakeup mechanism. We can try to estimate the magnitude of these various mechanisms.

Assume that the bound electrons, moving in a certain self-consistent field, find themselves in another field after the electron is ejected. Photoionization of an  $n, \ell$  state can be followed by a transition of an electron  $n', \ell'$  to the state  $n^*, \ell^*$ . One should consider the process simultaneously with ionization of  $n', \ell'$  state followed by a transition of an electron  $n, \ell$  to the state  $n^*, \ell^*$ . The asymptotic IPA amplitude of the process, without correlations, is

$$\mathcal{F}^{0} = F_{n\ell}^{0} \langle \phi_{n^*\ell^*} | \psi_{n'\ell'} \rangle - F_{n'\ell'}^{0} \langle \phi_{n^*,\ell^*} | \psi_{n\ell} \rangle, \tag{54}$$

with  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  the functions in the fields of the atom and of the ion with a hole in  $n, \ell$  and  $n', \ell'$  states for the two terms in the right hand sides (RHS) of Eq.(54) correspondingly,  $F_{n\ell}^0$  is the asymptotic IPA amplitude for ionization of  $n\ell$  state. One needs  $\ell^* = \ell'$ , or  $\ell^* = \ell$  otherwise the matrix element vanishes due to orthogonality of the angular parts of the wave functions.

Correlations provide another mechanism of the process in which  $n, \ell$  electron is ionized by direct interaction with the photon, and in the next step the photoelectron excites the  $n'\ell'$  electron to  $n^*\ell^*$  state. One should include possible permutation of the  $n\ell$  and  $n'\ell'$  states. The amplitude, which includes the correlations can be written as

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^0 + F_{n\ell}^0 \Lambda_{n^*\ell^*, n'\ell'} - F_{n'\ell'}^0 \Lambda_{n^*\ell^*, n\ell}, \tag{55}$$

with  $\mathcal{F}^0$  given by Eq.(54). The correlations can cause these transitions even if  $\ell^*$  coincides neither with  $\ell$  nor with  $\ell'$ , and the shakeup mechanism can not contribute.

Note that the contribution of correlations on the RHS of Eq.(55) is written omitting the terms containing as additional factors the overlap matrix elements of the type  $\langle \phi_{n'\ell} | \psi_{n'\ell} \rangle$ . We neglected such terms in particular calculations through the paper-see Sec.3. Inclusion of such terms would not alter the asymptotic energy dependence of the amplitude. Hence we shall use Eq.(55).

Consider, for example, photoionization of Be with the final state ion containing electron excited into 2p state. In this case  $n=1, n'=n^*=2, \ell=\ell'=0, \ell^*=1$ . Both terms on the RHS of Eq.(55) turn to zero, providing  $\mathcal{F}^0=0$ , and thus

$$\mathcal{F} = F_{1s}^0 \Lambda_{2p,2s} - F_{2s}^0 \Lambda_{2p,1s}. \tag{56}$$

Now we study the the relative role of the shakeup and correlation mechanisms of the process, for various relations between  $\ell$ ,  $\ell'$  and  $\ell^*$ . To obtain the energy dependence of the contributions on the RHS of Eq.(55) one can employ that in the asymptotics

$$F_{n\ell}^0 \sim \omega^{-(3+\ell)/2} \quad \Lambda_{n*\ell*,n\ell} \sim \omega^{-1/2}.$$
 (57)

The estimation for  $F_{n\ell}^0$  is well known [10]. The estimation for  $\Lambda_{n*\ell*,n\ell}$  is the consequence of Eq.(4), in which the matrix element of  $\Lambda$  between the bound states is proportional to the factor  $\xi \sim \omega^{-1/2}$ .

As we have seen for  $\ell \neq \ell' \neq \ell^*$  only correlations contribute. Turn now to other cases. If  $\ell \neq \ell' = \ell^*$  the second term on the RHS of Eq. (54) vanishes and the IPA amplitude is

$$\mathcal{F}^0 = F_{n\ell}^0 \langle \phi_{n^*\ell'} | \psi_{n'\ell'} \rangle.$$

Using Eq.(57) we find that the second term on the RHS of Eq.(55) drops faster than  $\mathcal{F}^0$ , and hence the asymptotics is

$$\mathcal{F} = F_{n\ell}^0 \langle \phi_{n^*\ell'} | \psi_{n'\ell'} \rangle - F_{n'\ell'}^0 \Lambda_{n^*\ell',n\ell}. \tag{58}$$

Further analysis depends on relation between  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$ .

For  $\ell' = \ell^* < \ell - 1$  (for example,  $n's \to n^*s$  and  $n'p \to n^*p$  transitions in ionization of d states), the correlations determine the asymptotics of the process since the first term on the

RHS of Eq. (58) drops with energy faster then the second term. For  $\ell' = \ell^* = \ell - 1$  (for example,  $n's \to n^*s$  transitions in ionization of p states) states the two terms terms on the RHS of Eq.(58) behave with energy in the same way. However the first term is proportional to the overlap matrix element, which is usually small. If it is the case, the correlations dominate the process.

Similar analysis shows that for  $\ell' = \ell^* > \ell - 1$ , including the case  $\ell = \ell' = \ell^*$  (for example,  $n's \to n^*s$  transitions in ionization of s states) asymptotics is determined by the shakeup mechanism, described by the first term on the RHS of Eq.(58). However, at finite energies, where the experimental data is available, interplay of the shakeup and correlation terms appears to be important [9], [23].

To obtain the cross section for the inclusive process one should sum the squared amplitude given by Eq.(55) over n' and  $n^*$ , depending on the conditions of experiment.

# 8 Summary

We have calculated the IPA breaking correlation corrections to the high energy photoionization amplitude, focusing on ionization of p states. Instead of carrying out summation over occupied states, we employed the closure results for summation over all states of the spectrum. We showed that the sum over all states of the spectrum is equal to the contribution of its high energy part. Therefore there is total cancellation between contributions of discrete and low energy part of continuum spectra. This provided identities, involving the asymptotics of the amplitudes of photoionization and of bremsstrahlung amplitudes at the tip region – Eqs. (28), (33).

We calculated the sum of correlations with the occupied bound states as the negative of the sum over the unoccupied bound states and the low energy continuum states. We made conclusions in a simple model, based on the general features of the bound states with large principal quantum numbers n. In this approach the sum of the occupied state correlations has a sign, which is opposite to that of the correlation inside the same shell. In spite of a crude model for the continuum, the results are in good agreement with those obtained earlier in direct calculation (Table 2).

We have shown that there is a general tendency of cancellation for the correlation effects. We demonstrated also that calculations with Coulomb functions give limits for the correlation effects in screened atoms, Eq.(53).

We showed also that the correlations beyond shakeoff and shakeup effects are important in inclusive processes, where photoionization is accompanied by excitation of other electrons. The relative role of the shakeup and correlation mechanisms was found to depend on relations between orbital momenta  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  of the removed electrons and orbital momentum  $\ell^*$  of the excited electron. In some of the cases the correlations dominate in the process. In the particular cases, for which experimental data are available, interplay of the two mechanisms is important.

#### Acknowledgment

We thank S. T. Manson for fruitful discussions. One of us (EGD) wishes to express his thanks for hospitality during his visits to the University of Pittsburgh. The work was supported in part by NSF grant 0456499.

# Appendix A

We calculate the asymptotic amplitude (2) for the bound n's states, following the approach of [13]. Note that it is determined at small  $r \sim 1/P$ . Thus we can use expansion of the function  $\psi_{ns}(r)$  at  $r \to 0$ ,

$$\psi_{ns}(r) = \frac{N_{ns}^r}{\sqrt{4\pi}} (1 + a_s r) \exp(-\lambda r); \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \lambda \to 0.$$
 (A.1)

Here the last factor has been introduced to insure the convergence of the integral in the intermediate steps. We have kept two terms of expansion in powers of r in brackets, since the lowest one, as we shall see below, vanishes at  $\lambda = 0$ . (Higher terms in r would contribute in higher terms in 1/P). The parameter  $a_s$  on the RHS of Eq. (A.1) should is equal to the first derivative of the function  $\psi_{ns}(r)$ , as determined by the first Kato cusp condition [24], being  $a_s = -m\alpha Z = -\tau$ . Since

$$\int d^3 r e^{i(\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}) - \lambda r} = \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right) \int d^3 r e^{i(\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{r})} \, \frac{e^{-\lambda r}}{r} = \frac{8\pi \lambda}{(P^2 + \lambda^2)^2},\tag{A.2}$$

and  $re^{-\lambda r} = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\right)e^{-\lambda r}$ , we obtain Eq. (2).

Evaluation of the matrix element in Eq.(2) corresponding to a continuum state j with asymptotic momentum  $Q \ll P$  can be done in the same way, with the same form of expansion of  $\psi_{Qs}$ . Since only s waves contribute, we can write

$$\langle \psi_f^{(0)} | \hat{\gamma} | \psi_{Qs} \rangle = F_{Qs}^0 = \frac{(\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{P})}{m} X_{\varepsilon s},$$
 (A.3)

where  $\varepsilon$  is the energy of the continuum electron,

$$X_{\varepsilon s} = \frac{4\pi^{1/2} N_{\varepsilon s}^r \tau}{P^4} \,, \tag{A.4}$$

with  $N_{\varepsilon s}^r = \psi_{\varepsilon s}^r(0)$ , where the upper index r again denotes the radial part of the function, yielding Eq.(2).

# Appendix B

Now we evaluate the amplitude  $T_{c2}$  defined, following Eq. (17), as

$$T_{c2} = \frac{(eP)}{m} \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \psi_f^0 | \psi_Q \rangle X(Q), \qquad (B.1)$$

with integration over  $Q \gg \tau$ , and with

$$X(Q) = \langle \psi_Q | \ln r(1-t) | \psi_i \rangle. \tag{B.2}$$

Using Eq. (9.6) of [10], and taking the first iteration, we obtain

$$\langle \psi_f^0 | \psi_Q \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\boldsymbol{P} - \boldsymbol{Q}) + h(Q)$$
 (B.3)

with

$$h(Q) = 2m \frac{V(P - Q)}{(Q + P)(Q - P + i\nu)} \quad \nu > 0, \quad \nu \to 0.$$
 (B.4)

The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (B.3) immediately gives

$$T_{c2} = \frac{(e\mathbf{P})}{m} X(P), \qquad (B.5)$$

leading to Eq. (23) due to Eq. (19).

Now we show that the second term on the right hand side of Eq. (B.3) provides higher order terms of expansion in powers of  $P^{-1}$ .

We put  $2mV(q) = \tau_c v(q^2)$ , with  $\tau_c$  being of the order  $\tau \ll P$ . One can see immediately that the regions  $|\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{P}| \sim P$  lead to corrections of the order 1/P. The vicinity of the point  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}$  requires special analysis. Near this point we use the well known relation

$$\frac{1}{x+i\nu} = P.V. \frac{1}{x} - i\pi\delta(0). \tag{B.6}$$

For the first term on the right hand side of Eq.(B6) the result of integration over the angles leads to a function of  $(P-Q)^2$ , i.e. to an even function of P-Q. Together with the denominator P-Q this leads to an odd function of P-Q in the integrand of the integral over Q, providing contribution of the order  $\sim \tau_c X(p)/P$ . Contribution of the same order comes from the whole interval  $Q \sim P$ . In a similar way one can see that the second term on the right hand side of Eq.(B6) also contributes only beyond the asymptotics. Thus, indeed we can neglect the second term on the right hand side of (B.3).

# Appendix C

In order to calculate  $T_{c2}$  we must evaluate the matrix element defined by Eq.(35). It is expressed also by Eq.(30 of appendix B. Since  $Q \gg \tau$  we first describe  $\psi_Q$  by a plane wave (3). Then

$$X(Q) = \int dV e^{-iQz} \ln(r-z) \psi_i(r). \qquad (C.1)$$

For p states we can write

$$\psi_i(r) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} t \psi_i^r(r) \tag{C.2}$$

(recall that we need only the states with  $\ell_z = 0$ ). Since we shall need  $\psi_i(r)$  at  $r \sim 1/Q$ , we can put  $\psi_i^r(r) = N_i^r r e^{-\lambda r}$  ( $\lambda \to 0$ ) in Eq. (A.4), and thus  $\psi_i(r) = \sqrt{3/4\pi} N_i^r r e^{-\lambda r}$ . We use  $z e^{-iQz} = iD_Q e^{-iQz}$  (with  $D_p = \partial/\partial p$ ) and use the parabolic coordinates

$$\xi = r + z, \quad \eta = r - z, \quad \phi = \arctan(x/y),$$

so that

$$r = \frac{\xi + \eta}{2}; \quad z = \frac{\xi - \eta}{2},$$

and

$$dV = \frac{\xi + \eta}{4} d\xi d\eta d\phi.$$

Thus we find

$$X(Q) = \frac{-i\sqrt{3}\pi^{1/2}N_{np}}{2}D_{Q}D_{\lambda}J_{Q}$$
 (C.3)

with

$$J_Q = \int d\xi \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda + iQ}{2}\right) \int d\eta \exp\left(-\eta \frac{\lambda - iQ}{2}\right) \ln \eta = \frac{-4}{\lambda^2 + Q^2} \left(\gamma_E + \ln \frac{\lambda - iQ}{2}\right), \quad (C.4)$$

with  $\gamma_E \approx 0.578$  the Euler constant, leading to asymptotics  $1/Q^4$ .

Note that the asymptotics  $Q^{-4}$  of the function X(Q) is due to the logarithmic term in the integrand. Replacing it by a constant, we would obtain the asymptotics  $Q^{-5}$ . Indeed, replacing  $\ln \eta$  by a constant, we would immediately find X(Q) = 0 due to the operator  $D_{\lambda}$ . Thus we must include the next term of expansion of the function  $\psi_i(r)$  in powers of r in a way similar to Appendix A. This gives  $X(Q) \sim D_Q D_{\lambda}^2 \cdot 1/(\lambda^2 + Q^2) = 4/Q^5$ . It can be shown that the higher order corrections to the function  $\psi_Q$  contribute only to higher orders of expansion in powers of 1/Q.

# References

- [1] E. W. B. Dias et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 78, 4553 (1997).
- [2] D. L. Hansen, et al., Phys. Rev. A 60, R2641 (1999).
- [3] V. K. Dolmatov, A. S. Baltenkov, and S. T. Manson, 64, 042718 (2001).
- [4] E. G. Drukarev and N. B. Avdonina, J. Phys. B **36**, 2033 (2003).
- [5] E. G. Drukarev and R. H. Pratt, Phys. Rev. A 72, 062701 (2005).
- [6] E. G. Drukarev and M. I. Strikman, Phys. Lett B 186, 1 (1987).
- [7] O. Hemmers, et al., J. Phys. B 30, L727 (1997).
- [8] E. G. Drukarev and M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, J. Phys. B **31**, 427 (1998).

- [9] E. G. Drukarev, E. Z. Liverts, M. Ya. Amusia, R. Krivec and V. B. Mandelzweig, Phys.Rev. A77, 012715 (2008).
- [10] H. Bethe and E. E. Salpeter, Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957).
- [11] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics. Nonrelativistic Theory* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1991).
- [12] K. T. Cheng and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. A 15,1326 (1977); 16, 263 (1977).
- [13] T. Aberg, Phys. Rev. A 2, 1726 (1970).
- [14] H. K. Tseng and R. H. Pratt, Phys. Rev. A 3, 100 (1971).
- [15] E. Fermi and T. Segre, Z. Phys. 82, 729 (1932).
- [16] S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. **182**, 97 (1969).
- [17] F. Herman, and S. Skillman, Atomic Structure Calculations, (Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1963).
- [18] J. Burns, J. Chem. Phys. 41, 1521 (1964).
- [19] A. Kratzer and W. Franz, Transzendente Funktionen, (Acad. Verl. Leipzig, 1960).
- [20] T. A. Carlson and C.W. Nestor Jr, Phys. Rev. A 8, 2887 (1973).
- [21] A. G. Kochur, A. I. Didenko and D. Petrini, J. Phys. B 35, 395 (2002).
- [22] A. G. Kochur and A. I. Didenko, Optics and Spectroscopy 100, 645 (2006).
- [23] T. Suric and R. H. Pratt, J. Phys. B **37**, L93 (2004).
- [24] T. Kato, Com. Pure Appl. Math. 10, 151 (1957).